Crochemore factorization of infinite words

Jean Berstel, Alessandra Savelli

Basic definition

Crochemore factorization: the *c*-factorization c(x) of a word x is

$$c(x) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots)$$

where x_m is the longest prefix of $x_m x_{m+1} \cdots$ occurring twice in $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m$, or x_m is a letter *a* if *a* does not occur in $x_1 \cdots x_{m-1}$.

The *c*-factorization of x = ababaab is (a, b, aba, ab), since aba occurs twice in ababa.

Fibonacci word

The **Fibonacci word** is defined as the limit of the sequence $f_{-1} = b$, $f_0 = a$, and $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1}f_n$:

$$f_{0} = a$$

$$f_{1} = ab$$

$$f_{2} = aba$$

$$f_{3} = abaab$$

$$\dots$$

$$\mathbf{f} = abaababaaba \dots = \widetilde{f}_{0}\widetilde{f}_{1}\widetilde{f}_{2}\widetilde{f}_{3}\dots$$

The *c*-factorization of **f** is exactly:

$$c(\mathbf{f}) = (a, b, a, aba, baaba, \ldots) = (a, b, a, \widetilde{f}_2, \widetilde{f}_3, \ldots).$$

Fibonacci word

The *c*-factorization is closely related to two other factorizations of the Fibonacci word.

$$h(\mathbf{f}) = (a, b, a, ab, aba, abaab, \ldots)$$

$$w(\mathbf{f}) = (a, b, aa, bab, aabaa, \ldots)$$

The three factorizations can be visualized through the following scheme:

Sturmian words

A standard Sturmian word is defined as the limit of

$$s_{-1} = b, s_0 = a$$
, and $s_n = s_{n-1}^{d_n} s_{n-2}$,

where d_i is a positive integer for all i > 0.

Similarly to the Fibonacci word, the Sturmian words have a decomposition in reverse finite words s_n :

$$\mathbf{s} = \widetilde{s_0}^{d_1} \widetilde{s_1}^{d_2} \widetilde{s_2}^{d_3} \cdots$$

The *c*-factorization of standard Sturmian words is closely related to that decomposition:

$$c(\mathbf{s}) = (a, a^{d_1-1}, b, a^{d_1} \widetilde{s}_1^{d_2-1}, \widetilde{s}_2^{d_3}, \widetilde{s}_3^{d_4}, \dots, \widetilde{s}_n^{d_{n+1}}, \dots).$$

Thue-Morse word

Let τ be the Thue-Morse morphism on a two-letter alphabet defined by $\tau(a) = ab$ and $\tau(b) = ba$, the **Thue-Morse infinite** word $\mathbf{t} = abbabaabbaabbaabbaa \cdots$ is defined as the limit of the sequence

$$t_0 = a, \quad t_n = \tau(t_{n-1}).$$

Each factor in the *c*-factorization of t can be obtained from the previous ones by applying the morphism τ :

$$c(\mathbf{t}) = (c_1, c_2, \ldots), \quad c_{n+2} = \tau(c_n) \text{ for every } n > 6.$$

Thue-Morse generalized words

The **Thue-Morse generalized word** on a *m*-letter alphabet $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}$ obtained as the limit of the sequence

$$t_0^{(m)} = a_1, \quad t_{n+1}^{(m)} = \tau_m(t_n^{(m)}),$$

where τ_m is the morphism defined by $\tau_m(a_i) = a_i a_{i+1} \cdots a_m a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}$ $(i = 1, \dots, m)$.

 $c_{n+2(m-1)}^{(m)} = \tau_m(c_n)$ for every n > m and $m \ge 3$.

Example for m = 3:

 $c(\mathbf{t}^{(3)}) = (a, b, c, bc, a, \frac{ca}{ca}, b, bcacab, abc, \frac{cababc}{cababc}, bca, \ldots)$

Period doubling sequence

Let δ be the morphism on a two-letter alphabet defined by

$$\delta(a) = ab, \quad \delta(b) = aa.$$

The period doubling sequence is the limit of the sequence

$$q_0 = a \text{ and } q_{n+1} = \delta(q_n).$$

Period doubling sequence

Similarly to the case of standard Sturmian words, the period doubling sequence admits the decomposition:

 $\mathbf{q}=\widetilde{q_0}\widetilde{q_1}\widetilde{q_2}\cdots,$

and the $\mathit{c}\text{-factorization}$ of \mathbf{q} is

 $c(\mathbf{q}) = (a, b, a, aa, ba, baba, aaba, \ldots) = (\widetilde{q_0}, \widetilde{q_0}', \widetilde{q_0}, \widetilde{q_1}', \widetilde{q_1}, \widetilde{q_2}', \widetilde{q_2}, \ldots),$

where q'_n is q_n with just the last letter changed to its opposite.

Note that $q_{n+1} = q_n q'_n$.

Crochemore vs. Ziv-Lempel

Ziv-Lempel factorization: the *z*-factorization z(x) of a word x is

$$z(x) = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, y_{m+1}, \dots)$$

where y_m is the shortest prefix of $y_m y_{m+1} \cdots$ which occurs only once in $y_1 y_2 \cdots y_m$.

The *c*-factorization of x = ababaab is (a, b, abaa, b).

Crochemore vs. Ziv-Lempel

Facts:

- A Crochemore factor cannot properly include a Ziv-Lempel factor.
- If a Ziv-Lempel factor includes a Crochemore factor, then it ends at most a letter after.

For example, let x be the word x = aabaaccbaabaabaa. The c-factorization and the z-factorization of x are:

$$c(x) = (a, a, b, aa, c, c, baa, baabaa)$$

$$z(x) = (a, ab, aac, cb, aabaab, aa).$$

Crochemore vs. Ziv-Lempel

Consider for example the period doubling sequence

 $\mathbf{q} = abaaabababaaabaa \cdots$.

Each Ziv-Lempel factor of q properly includes a Crochemore factor by ending just a letter before:

z:																	
c:	a	b	a	a	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	a	a	b	a	a	•••