On the centralizer of a language

Paolo Massazza¹

¹Dipartimento di Informatica e Comunicazione Università degli Studi dell'Insubria Varese Italy

Varese July 18th 2006

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Outline



2 Preliminary notions

- Centralizer
- Words and languages

3 The result

- Theorems and corollaries
- Conclusions and open problems

▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ ■ ■ ● ○ ○ ○

Outline



- 2 Preliminary notions
 - Centralizer
 - Words and languages
- 3 The result
 - Theorems and corollaries
 - Conclusions and open problems

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



Goal: to study particular cases of the language equation

$$XL = LX, \quad L \subseteq \Sigma^*, \Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}.$$

Definition (Centralizer)

C(L) is the largest solution of XL = LX.

Problem (Conway 1971)

Is it true that if L is rational then C(L) is rational?

Our Result: some sufficient conditions for $C(L) = L^*$.

<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日<<00<



Goal: to study particular cases of the language equation

$$XL = LX, \quad L \subseteq \Sigma^*, \Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}.$$

Definition (Centralizer)

C(L) is the largest solution of XL = LX.

Problem (Conway 1971)

Is it true that if L is rational then C(L) is rational?

Our Result: some sufficient conditions for $C(L) = L^*$.

<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日<<00<



Goal: to study particular cases of the language equation

$$XL = LX, \quad L \subseteq \Sigma^*, \Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}.$$

Definition (Centralizer)

C(L) is the largest solution of XL = LX.

Problem (Conway 1971)

Is it true that if L is rational then C(L) is rational?

Our Result: some sufficient conditions for $C(L) = L^*$.

<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日<<00<



Goal: to study particular cases of the language equation

$$XL = LX, \quad L \subseteq \Sigma^*, \Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}.$$

Definition (Centralizer)

C(L) is the largest solution of XL = LX.

Problem (Conway 1971)

Is it true that if L is rational then C(L) is rational?

Our Result: some sufficient conditions for $C(L) = L^{\star}$.

(日)

Known results

About codes

- If *L* is a prefix code then C(L) = ρ(L)* (Ratoandromanana 1989).
- If L is a rational code then C(L) is rational (Karhumäki, Latteux and Petre 2005).

About finite sets

- If *L* has at most 3 words then C(L) is rational (Karhumäki et al. 2002).
- There exists a finite language L such that C(L) is not recursively enumerable (Kunc 2005).

Known results

About codes

- If L is a prefix code then C(L) = ρ(L)* (Ratoandromanana 1989).
- If L is a rational code then C(L) is rational (Karhumäki, Latteux and Petre 2005).

About finite sets

- If L has at most 3 words then C(L) is rational (Karhumäki et al. 2002).
- There exists a finite language L such that C(L) is not recursively enumerable (Kunc 2005).

Centralizer Vords and languages

Outline



2 Preliminary notions

- Centralizer
- Words and languages

3 The result

- Theorems and corollaries
- Conclusions and open problems

Centralizer Words and languages

The centralizer: elementary properties

Properties of C(L)

- If $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ commutes with $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ then $A \subseteq C(L)$
- 2 $L^* \subseteq C(L) \subseteq \operatorname{Pref}(L^*) \cap \operatorname{Suf}(L^*)$
- *C*(*L*) is a monoid
- If $\epsilon \in L$ then $\mathcal{C}(L) = \Sigma^{2}$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Centralizer Words and languages

The centralizer: elementary properties

Properties of C(L)

- If $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ commutes with $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ then $A \subseteq C(L)$
- 2 $L^* \subseteq C(L) \subseteq \operatorname{Pref}(L^*) \cap \operatorname{Suf}(L^*)$
- 3 C(L) is a monoid
- If $\epsilon \in L$ then $\mathcal{C}(L) = \Sigma^{2}$

Centralizer Words and languages

The centralizer: elementary properties

Properties of C(L)

- If $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ commutes with $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ then $A \subseteq C(L)$
- 2 $L^* \subseteq C(L) \subseteq \operatorname{Pref}(L^*) \cap \operatorname{Suf}(L^*)$
- 3 C(L) is a monoid

• If $\epsilon \in L$ then $\mathcal{C}(L) = \Sigma^{2}$

Centralizer Words and languages

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The centralizer: elementary properties

Properties of C(L)

- If $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ commutes with $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ then $A \subseteq C(L)$
- 2 $L^* \subseteq C(L) \subseteq \operatorname{Pref}(L^*) \cap \operatorname{Suf}(L^*)$
- \bigcirc C(L) is a monoid

• If
$$\epsilon \in L$$
 then $\mathcal{C}(L) = \Sigma^{\star}$

Centralizer Words and languages

Basic notions: words

- x is a prefix of y ($x \le y$) iff y = xz
- x is prefix distinguishable in L iff for y ∈ L, y ≠ x, x ≤ y and y ≤ x
- *u* is a root of *w* if $w = u^r$
- w is primitive if $w = u^r$ implies w = u and r = 1
- a root which is primitive is called primitive root
- every w admits exactly one primitive root ρ(w)
- w ∈ L is root prefix distinguishable in L if ρ(w) is prefix distinguishable in L \ {w}
- $x \leq_{\mathsf{lex}} y$ iff $x \leq y$ or $x = \alpha \sigma u$ and $y = \alpha \tau v$ with $\sigma < \tau$

Centralizer Words and languages

Basic notions: languages

- R is a root of L if $L = R^e$
- a root R is minimal if $R \neq Y^k$ ($Y \neq R$)
- *R* is the primitive root of *L*, *R* = ρ(*L*), if *R* is the only minimal root of *L*
- *L* is periodic if $L \subseteq u^*$
- *L* is branching if $\exists v, w \in L$ such that $\operatorname{pref}_1(v) \neq \operatorname{pref}_1(v)$
- The circular shift of a nonbranching language $L = aL_1$ is $L^{\hookrightarrow} = L_1 a$

Centralizer Words and languages

Branching languages: some results

Theorem

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be a nonperiodic language. Then there is a branching language $\hat{L} \subseteq \Sigma^+$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}(L) = L^{\star} \quad \iff \quad \mathcal{C}(\hat{L}) = \hat{L}^{\star}.$$

_emma

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be nonperiodic and nonbranching, $L = aL_1$. Then

 $\mathcal{C}(L) = (a\mathcal{C}(L^{\hookrightarrow}))a^{-1}.$

<ロ>
<日>
<日>
<日>
<日>
<日>
<日>
<10</p>
<10</p

Centralizer Words and languages

Branching languages: some results

Theorem

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be a nonperiodic language. Then there is a branching language $\hat{L} \subseteq \Sigma^+$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}(L) = L^{\star} \quad \iff \quad \mathcal{C}(\hat{L}) = \hat{L}^{\star}.$$

Lemma

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be nonperiodic and nonbranching, $L = aL_1$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(L) = (a\mathcal{C}(L^{\hookrightarrow}))a^{-1}.$$

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Outline

Introduction

- 2 Preliminary notions
 - Centralizer
 - Words and languages

3 The result

- Theorems and corollaries
- Conclusions and open problems

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Main theorems

Theorem

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be a language such that $\sharp L > 1$ and $u = \min_{lex}(L)$ is root prefix distinguishable in L. Then $C(L) = L^*$.

This generalizes a previous result:

Theorem

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be a language such that $u = \min_{lex}(L)$ is primitive and prefix distinguishable in *L*. Then $C(L) = L^*$.

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Main theorems

Theorem

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be a language such that $\sharp L > 1$ and $u = \min_{lex}(L)$ is root prefix distinguishable in L. Then $C(L) = L^*$.

This generalizes a previous result:

Theorem

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be a language such that $u = \min_{lex}(L)$ is primitive and prefix distinguishable in L. Then $C(L) = L^*$.

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Corollaries

Corollary

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ ($\sharp L > 1$). If there is $w \in L$ with $pref_1(w) \neq pref_1(y)$ for any $y \in L \setminus \{w\}$, then $C(L) = L^*$.

Corollary

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be a three-word language which is not periodic. Then $C(L) = L^*$.

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Corollaries

Corollary

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ ($\sharp L > 1$). If there is $w \in L$ with $pref_1(w) \neq pref_1(y)$ for any $y \in L \setminus \{w\}$, then $C(L) = L^*$.

Corollary

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be a three-word language which is not periodic. Then $C(L) = L^*$.

・ロト < 団ト < ヨト < ヨト < 国ト のへで

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Conclusions

Fact

The conditions we have shown are not not necessary for

 $\mathcal{C}(L) = L^{\star}$

Example

L = {a, aba, ababa}. C(L) = a{a, baa, babaa}*a⁻¹ = {a, aba, ababa}* = L*
L = {aⁱbaⁱ, bⁱabⁱ | i > 0}. min_{lex}(L) =⊥, L is branching. Since L is a prefix code and primitive C(L) = L*.
L = {aaa, bbb, ab, ba}, min, (L) is not root prefix.

I = {aaa, bbb, ab, ba}. min_{lex}(L) is not root prefix distinguishable, L is branching. Nevertheless, $C(L) = L^*$ (L is a prefix code and primitive).

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Conclusions

Fact

The conditions we have shown are not not necessary for

 $\mathcal{C}(L) = L^{\star}$

Example

• $L = \{a, aba, ababa\}.$

 $\mathcal{C}(L) = a\{a, baa, babaa\}^* a^{-1} = \{a, aba, ababa\}^* = L^*$

- 2 $L = \{a^i b a^i, b^i a b^i | i > 0\}$. min_{lex} $(L) = \bot$, *L* is branching. Since *L* is a prefix code and primitive $C(L) = L^*$.
- L = {aaa, bbb, ab, ba}. min_{lex}(L) is not root prefix distinguishable, L is branching. Nevertheless, C(L) = L* (L is a prefix code and primitive).

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Conclusions

Fact

The conditions we have shown are not not necessary for

 $\mathcal{C}(L) = L^{\star}$

Example

• $L = \{a, aba, ababa\}$. $C(L) = a\{a, baa, babaa\}^* a^{-1} = \{a, aba, ababa\}^* = L^*$

- 2 $L = \{a^i b a^i, b^i a b^i | i > 0\}$. min_{lex} $(L) = \bot$, *L* is branching. Since *L* is a prefix code and primitive $C(L) = L^*$.
- L = {aaa, bbb, ab, ba}. min_{lex}(L) is not root prefix distinguishable, L is branching. Nevertheless, C(L) = L* (L is a prefix code and primitive).

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Conclusions

Fact

The conditions we have shown are not not necessary for

 $\mathcal{C}(L) = L^{\star}$

Example

L = {a, aba, ababa}. C(L) = a{a, baa, babaa}*a⁻¹ = {a, aba, ababa}* = L*
L = {aⁱbaⁱ, bⁱabⁱ | i > 0}. min_{lex}(L) =⊥, L is branching. Since L is a prefix code and primitive C(L) = L*.
L = {aaa, bbb, ab, ba}. min_{lex}(L) is not root prefix distinguishable, L is branching. Nevertheless, C(L) = L* (is a prefix code and primitive).

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Conclusions

Fact

The conditions we have shown are not not necessary for

$$\mathcal{C}(L) = L^{\star}$$

Example

- $L = \{a, aba, ababa\}.$ $C(L) = a\{a, baa, babaa\}^* a^{-1} = \{a, aba, ababa\}^* = L^*$ • $L = \{a^i ba^i, b^i ab^i | i > 0\}.$ min_{lex} $(L) = \bot, L$ is branching.
 - Since *L* is a prefix code and primitive $C(L) = L^*$.
- \mathcal{O} $L = \{aaa, bbb, ab, ba\}$. min_{lex}(L) is not root prefix distinguishable, L is branching. Nevertheless, $\mathcal{C}(L) = L^*$ (L is a prefix code and primitive).

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Conclusions

Fact

The conditions we have shown are not not necessary for

 $\mathcal{C}(L) = L^{\star}$

Example

L = {a, aba, ababa}. C(L) = a{a, baa, babaa}*a⁻¹ = {a, aba, ababa}* = L*
L = {aⁱbaⁱ, bⁱabⁱ | i > 0}. min_{lex}(L) =⊥, L is branching. Since L is a prefix code and primitive C(L) = L*.
L = {aaa, bbb, ab, ba}. min_{lex}(L) is not root prefix distinguishable, L is branching. Nevertheless, C(L) = L* (L is a prefix code and primitive).

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Conclusions

Fact

The conditions we have shown are not not necessary for

 $\mathcal{C}(L) = L^{\star}$

Example

- L = {a, aba, ababa}. C(L) = a{a, baa, babaa}*a⁻¹ = {a, aba, ababa}* = L*
 L = {aⁱbaⁱ, bⁱabⁱ | i > 0}. min_{lex}(L) =⊥, L is branching. Since L is a prefix code and primitive C(L) = L*.
 L = {aaa, bbb, ab, ba}. min_{lex}(L) is not root prefix distinguishable, L is branching. Nevertheless, C(L) = L* (L)
 - is a prefix code and primitive).

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Open problems

Problems

- find weaker sufficient conditions
- study the centralizer of a 4-word language
- characterize the languages *L* such that $C(L) = L^*$

Example

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Open problems

Problems

find weaker sufficient conditions

• study the centralizer of a 4-word language

• characterize the languages *L* such that $C(L) = L^*$

Example

 $L = \{aa, ab, ba, bb\}$ has a root prefix distinguishable word ab but $C(L) = \rho(L)^*$ with $\rho(L) = \{a, b\}$

(日)

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Open problems

Problems

- find weaker sufficient conditions
- study the centralizer of a 4-word language
- characterize the languages *L* such that $C(L) = L^*$

Example

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Open problems

Problems

- find weaker sufficient conditions
- study the centralizer of a 4-word language
- characterize the languages *L* such that $C(L) = L^*$

Example

Theorems and corollaries Conclusions and open problems

Open problems

Problems

- find weaker sufficient conditions
- study the centralizer of a 4-word language
- characterize the languages L such that $C(L) = L^*$

Example

Papers

P. Massazza. On the equation XL = LX, *Proc. of WORDS 2005.*

Publications du Laboratoire de Combinatoire et d'Informatique Mathématique, Montreal, 36 (2005), p. 315–322.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

P. Massazza, P. Salmela. On the simplest centralizer of a language. to appear in RAIRO Theoretical Informatics and Applications.